

**CITY OF ANNA MARIA
CITY COMMISSION WORK SESSION
MEETING HELD AT HOLMES BEACH COUNCIL CHAMBERS
THURSDAY, APRIL 11, 2007
7:00 P.M.**

CALL TO ORDER

Chair Quam called the Work Session to order at 7:05 p.m.

PLEDGE TO THE FLAG

ROLL CALL: Commissioner Duke Miller, Chair John Quam, Commissioner Dale Woodland, Commissioner Jo Ann Mattick, and Mayor Fran Barford.

ABSENT w/Excuse: Deputy Chair Christine Tollette.

Staff present: Deputy City Clerk/Finance Director Diane Percycoe, City Planner Alan Garrett, City Engineer Tom Wilcox, and Minutes Clerk Stacey Johnston.

Press present: Sun, Islander.

1. Discussion on Proposed FPL Franchise Agreement.

Mel Klein, Manatee County Area Manager FL Power & Light, and *Bob Coleman*, FPL, addressed the Commission relating to the upcoming FPL Franchise Agreement renewal.

Mr. Klein explained how the proposed agreement proposed as 5.9% versus the current 6% would benefit the City. He stated that in the current agreement, FP&L holds back 10% to cover the cost of their uncollected fees. Removing that from the proposed agreement will allow Anna Maria to actually yield additional dollars on a monthly basis.

Mr. Klein informed that the proposed 30-year agreement would be a non-exclusive agreement only to the extent that the City would not go into business and compete with their services.

He informed that both their attorney and the City Attorney were currently reviewing the proposed agreement.

Commissioner Woodland said he was opposed to a 30-year term clause and preferred a 10-year time period.

Mr. Klein responded they currently have approximately 170 franchises with 30-year terms. He explained that the 30-year commitments allow their company to build additional plants and utilize new technology and receive appropriate funding for future growth.

Mr. Coleman responded to *Commissioner Woodland's* request for clarification on the terms 5.9% "less write-offs." Mr. Coleman explained they only pay a franchise on the collected amount and not on those amounts that have been written-off as bad debt.

In answer to *Commissioner Woodland's* question relating to what percentage the City of Bradenton receives, *Mr. Klein* informed 6%.

Commissioner Woodland addressed the philosophy of "cool cities" and asked what resources were available through FP&L to implement that goal in Anna Maria. He felt moving toward a "cool city" concept environment would be benefit to the City, the environment, and to FP&L.

Mr. Klein informed that FP&L works with counties and cities to assist in the saving of money and energy. *Mr. Klein* discussed the Carbon Reduction Program and said they would be more than willing to meet with the City and the community in an effort to educate and work with them on these type programs.

Commissioner Woodland felt since FP&L leased a portion of their poles to outside sources, the City should have the rights to a portion of the revenues since they are erected on the City's right-of-way. He further asked if the City had the option of leasing the poles to an outside source, for example personal wireless telephone services.

Mr. Coleman responded and stated many cities have run synchronization cables for their streetlights on their poles and in doing so have signed a joint-use agreement with FP&L. Safety serves as the main issue. He informed that the Public Service Commission controls all regulations for wireless services. Explanation followed.

He said most all their joint-use agreements are with the Cable Companies. The Federal Communication Commission regulates the charge per pole and FP&L would not have the ability to share in the revenues.

Mr. Coleman said they have never had a provision for sharing in the revenue with the City. However, the City does have the rights to obtain sponsors and place banners on the poles.

Chair Quam asked about the language stating if any community in Manatee County received a greater than 5.9% franchise revenue Anna Maria could join in. He asked if it was normal to include only the County and not the State of Florida.

Mr. Klein said that was how their agreements are written. He said FP&L are currently considering an option suggested by Mayor Barford regarding the fact that the Island communities are all being a comparable size to each other. It is hoped that an option will be brought back to the City to address the situation.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Russell Stover, 815 N. Shore Dr., asked if the City had considered the option of underground utilities.

Chair Quam said underground utilities had been discussed by the Commission at a previous Work Session. The expense would be a huge burden on the City.

Mr. Klein said if the City was interested, a hard engineering estimate could be provided to the City. In the event the City decided to proceed with the installation of underground utilities, the engineering estimate cost would become part of the total cost. If they decided to not proceed, the engineering cost to provide the estimate would be owed by the City. He informed that the City of Longboat Key recently looked into underground utilities and felt the expense was too great and would be a burden on the citizens.

Mr. Klein explained the process involved with the maintenance requirements of underground poles and wires as a result of storms and any standing water.

2. Continue Discussion on Maintenance of Lake LaVista Inlet / Jetty Extension.

City Engineer Tom Wilcox, HDR Engineering, Inc., addressed the LaVista Inlet Dredging Report Prepared April 4, 2007.

He said the report was in response to the question as to whether or not the City could dredge the inlet year-round instead of only during the off-turtle season. Mr. Wilcox reported that the second modification to the FDEP permit, granted in August 2002, did allow for year-round dredging. Prior to that, dredging had to be done outside of the Sea Turtle Nesting Season.

Mr. Wilcox explained that while the City can dredge any time during the year in accordance with the permit provisions, they would incur a number of permit conditions if performed during turtle season. Anna Maria currently dredges in the winter months, December or January, in order to avoid the additional condition requirements. In addition, December and January are also an off-season for the Manatees.

This year, however, Public Works Director McKay has reported the dredging will occur in the next couple of weeks. Turtle season began April 1 so the City will face the additional conditions this year. Mr. Wilcox referenced the eleven basic requirements for each dredging and the twelve additional requirements during the Turtle Nesting Season.

It is Mr. Wilcox's recommendation that the most efficient use of the dredging dollars would be to:

- 1) Dredge during the time period of December 1 through March 31.
- 2) Stretch the dredging cycles out to every two years versus the current process of twelve to eighteen months. Each dredging cost is approximately \$11 per cubic yard for every yard removed plus \$5,000 to do the Filet (estimated cost of over \$90,000).
- 3) Minimize the erosion of the shoreline by increasing the size of the Filet area so it will trap more sand.
- 4) Extend the Jettys another 200 feet into the water so the end of the channel is in deeper water and would take longer for sand to accumulate in the channel to a point that interferes with navigation.
- 5) Another alternative is to excavate the Filet more often.

Lengthy discussion followed by Mr. Wilcox relating to the pros and cons of dredging less frequently, the costs involved, all additional fees required over and above just the dredging costs, etc. He further discussed the pros and cons of installing a Jetty and the need for stock piling the dredged sand for re-nourishment purposes.

Mr. Wilcox said if the Commission were to proceed with HDR's Task Order # 3 it would allow for the survey and study to be accomplished. Discussion of the current status of the inlet followed. It was Mr. Wilcox's opinion that extending the Jetty would not solve the City's problem but would allow for extending the time between the dredging. He informed that placing a widener at the end of the Jetty that will allow the sand to fill in before filling in the channel was another alternative.

According to Mr. Wilcox, if the City agreed to proceed with the Jetty extension, it would require extending both rather than just one. The cost would be approximately \$250,000. He informed that the West Coast Inlet Navigation District (WCIND) offers a \$50,000 fixed fee grant to do the work.

Commissioner Woodland complemented Mr. Wilcox on providing the City with such a superb report.

Commissioner Woodland and Mr. Wilcox discussed the sand storage/stockpile location as required by the permit that will expire Oct 2009. Commissioner Woodland informed there had been several complaints about the location where the sand was currently being stockpiled. Mr. Wilcox acknowledged that upon renewal of the permit, alternate locations could be applied for and if approved would be written into the permit.

Mr. Wilcox acknowledged he spoke with Chris Jones relating to the Filet issue. He stated that Mr. Jones did not address the frequency of cleaning out the Filet but did address the size of it. According to Mr. Jones, if the City did extend the Jettys the Filet would become much larger and therefore hold more sand. He further suggested placing a spur on the up drift side.

Mr. Wilcox said he asked Mr. Jones about one of the permits stating you could not extend past the high tide line. Mr. Jones informed that was an error and should have stated low tide line.

Commissioner Woodland said he is leaning toward cleaning the Filet more often for the following reasons:

- Would result in a lower expense to the City.
- Since the City has never proceeded with cleaning the Filet multiple times per year, it may just work as designed.
- The idea of the Filet was to minimize and delay the dredging.
- Felt it would address the issue of re-nourishing the downside.

ACTION: After discussion, it was agreed that City Engineer Wilcox would pursue and compare the costs involved to clean the Filet more often versus the current dredging costs and bring back the results to the Commission at their May Work Session. Any additional costs involved with cleaning the Filet during the Turtle Nesting Season will also be determined.

3. Discussion on Proposed Setback Standards/Build coverage/Impervious.

Chair Quam asked that this item be discussed only briefly and that the Mayor then send the item to the Planning & Zoning Board for their recommendation. Upon receiving the P&Z Board recommendation, a Public Hearing would then be held by the City Commission

Alan Garrett, City Planner, presented the revisions and discussed the matrix based on the consensus and comments he received by the City Commission. Changes included the following;

- Front-yard setbacks for ROR, C1, PSP, and PRA Districts would all be 29-feet.
- Side-yard setbacks should not depend on whether or not the home was one or two stories and therefore be a consistent 10-feet for all Districts.
- Change street side-yard setback from 20-feet to 15-feet in the ROR, C1, PSP, and PRA Districts.
- The lot area required for the ROR District was changed to: Residential structure – 5,000 sq. feet and for Office or Retail in the Residential – 7,500 sq. feet.

Commissioner Mattick said she looked at the City's existing density and discussed the recommendations relating to Building Coverage in Zone District and Impervious Coverage in all Zone Districts. She did not feel it was appropriate if an individual owns an additional lot it would be required they build a smaller home. She further discussed her recommendations relating to the density requirements in the Coastal Overlay District.

Commissioner Woodland discussed his recommendation of placing a cap on the maximum footprint that can be built on any lot regardless of size. He suggested a footprint maximum of 3,500 sq. feet be considered.

ACTION: It was agreed that all issues would be brought up and presented to the Planning & Zoning Board by City Planner Garrett.

4. Discussion on Proposed Amendments to Special Events Ordinance.

City Planner Garrett presented proposed changes to Anna Maria's current Special Events Ordinance based on input received from each Commissioner.

The following recommendations were addressed by Planner Garrett:

- Special events would only be held in C-1 and ROR zone districts or within public right-of-ways.
- Special event permits would be limited to six times per calendar year per parcel.
- A special event would be an activity of twenty or more persons and is limited to outdoor events. The City would no longer be regulating indoor events.
- Wedding receptions and similar events would fall under a special event. It was noted that “beach wedding receptions” would read “wedding reception” since an outdoor wedding reception could be held outdoors but not necessarily at a beach location.
- Mandate that the application include the site sketch and description. The drawing needs to be to scale and to show setbacks for all proposed temporary structures. It shall further show the parking allocated specifically for the special event.
- Establish a fee for - Special Event for profit at \$200.00 and for non-profit at \$50.00.
- Security services would be automatically required if alcohol is to be served.
- Only the special event including cooking facilities, heaters, and/or a tent would the Westside Anna Maria Fire District staff be required to review the permit application.

Commissioner Miller congratulated Planner Garrett on the preparation of the proposed Ordinance changes.

Commissioner Miller suggested the fees be approved by Resolution and not placed in the Ordinance.

Chair Quam asked for clarification on issuing a special events permit for garage sales. He felt there was confusion as written.

City Planner Garrett clarified the wording should state special events in C-1 and ROR with the exception of garage sales as noted below. However, the Commission should determine if they felt a special events permit should be required for garage sales.

Chair Quam said he would like to see garage sales included.

Commissioner Mattick agreed and felt a Special Event was any event open and advertised to the general public and may require the closing of public streets, creates excess noise allowed by Ordinance, and require the services of Sheriff's Departments for crowd and traffic control. She did not feel that weddings, family reunions, etc. represents a special event for the City since they are held on private property where an invitation has been issued, and therefore not open to the public.

Commissioner Mattick did not feel the City should regulate the number or type of events that are held by a public business.

Commissioner Woodland questioned if a special event does not violate any Ordinance, why would the number of events be limited. He asked what the Commission wanted to accomplish.

Commissioner Miller responded that if an event were outside the purview of the business, it would affect the impact of the area or on other businesses within the area.

City Planner Garrett informed a use not shown on the businesses site plan would result in a violation. A site plan amendment would be required if they wished to make an event a continued use.

Lengthy discussion and examples followed relating to code requirements. Examples from other communities were also referenced and discussed.

Commissioner Woodland suggested that a member of Anna Maria's Police Department be hired when security services were required.

Planner Garrett said that since Commissioner Woodland's request would be limiting others from performing security services on an off-duty basis, he would check with City Attorney Dye regarding any legality issues.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Diane Percycocoe, Deputy City Clerk/Finance Director, asked for clarification relating to an upcoming event of over twenty persons to be held at the Bayfront Park. She asked if a special events permit would be required at that location.

City Planner Garrett answered due to Bayfront Park's zoning; a special events permit would not be required. He pointed out that public parks could, however, also be added to the proposed Ordinance.

Ms. Percycocoe asked if permits would be required at the Historical Society.

City Planner Garrett informed the Historical Society was zoned ROR and permits would be required pursuant to the proposed Ordinance if over twenty people would be in attendance and the event was held outdoors.

Ms. Percycocoe asked if a permit would be required if a tent was on the land and not on the parking lot.

Mr. Garrett said a permit would be required if held outdoors and falling within the listed districts as drafted by the proposed Ordinance.

Robin Wall, 112 Palmetto Avenue, asked for clarification on the number of permits that can be issued per year, number of days the event can be held, and the definition of seasonal. Planner Garrett responded.

Sandy Mattick, 307 Pine, said she would not want to see businesses limited to a certain number of special events per year. She suggested if a business holds an event on their property a permit could be administered through the Mayor and would not be necessary to go before the City Commission for their approval.

She said she did not feel the Historical Society should be required to pay a fee.

Karen Lockwood, 703 N. Shore Dr., said the Island Garden Tour was the sole fundraiser for the Island Orchestra and Chorus and is traditionally held on private property. She asked how that event would fit in with the proposed draft.

Mr. Garrett said it would no longer apply to the residential districts and if they were not using the public rights-of-way, a permit would not be required.

Russell Stover, 815 N. Shore, asked what protection the Ordinance would have. Mr. Stover gave an example of a wedding previously held at Bean Point that involved parking issues.

Planner Garrett responded if the event was held in a residential area it would not require a Special Event Permit. However, the Sheriff's Department would be responsible to address any traffic concerns.

Esther Mattick, 520 Magnolia Ave., said she hosts Easter at her home for her eighteen nieces and nephews. It was her hope she would not be required to pay for a permit to have her family over for Easter.

Chair Quam stated that was not the intent of the proposed Ordinance.

ACTION: After discussion, it was agreed that Mayor Barford and City Planner Garrett would review the specific situations mentioned in an effort to refine the proposed Ordinance and bring back for the Commission's consideration at their May Work Session.

5. Determine the Interest of the City to Proceed with Locating Potential Properties Available for 'Pocket Parks', for Grant Application to Florida Community Trust Fund.

Commissioner Mattick said she wanted to clarify the background on the misinformation that had been circulated regarding the Florida Community Trust Fund Grant Proposal. She said upon her election she had considered the possibilities of Grant Writing.

She informed she had recently written a \$300,000 Transportation Enhancement Grant that provides landscaping down Gulf Drive and Pine Avenue and was looking for other potential areas that would be beneficial to the residents.

After attending a workshop offered by the FL Communities Trust in March, she began considering the Lockwood's property and felt they would meet some of the

criteria requirements for the FL Communities Trust Grant. After leaving the workshop she decided to approach the Lockwoods.

Commissioner Mattick said the Lockwoods voiced their support of the proposal and she asked them to not discuss it with their neighbors since their property was listed for sale and she did not want to interfere with possible offers on the property and also she had not communicated her proposal to other Commission members due to the Sunshine Laws.

The chain of events relating to announcement of Commissioner Mattick's proposal followed. She stated that after the March meeting when her proposal was discussed, a mailing would have been sent out to all neighbors within 300 feet of the Lockwood property to explain the entire proposal.

Commissioner Mattick discussed what she felt were merits of the proposal. She further discussed and commented on some of the objections that she had heard. Those objections included:

- Decreasing property values.
- Increase in crimes if a park is nearby.
- Parking problems.
- Not wanting a busload of children unloading in the area.

Commissioner Mattick concluded that she began the project with the best of intentions. She said there was no ulterior motive on her part or that of the Lockwoods. Her goal was to benefit the residents with a small tract that would leave a lasting legacy for future generations. She said she was disappointed in the level of name-calling and vilification of those involved.

She asked if there was any desire by the Commission or residents to find another piece of property to pursue the obtaining of a grant through the FL Communities Trust.

Commissioner Woodland said he was interested in pursuing any opportunities in increasing the green space in the City.

Chair Quam said he felt the procedure followed in regard to the issue was incorrect. He felt the Commission should have first determined if they were interested in pursuing a grant. Any individuals interested in submitting their name with properties to be considered would place their name on a listing at City Hall and those properties would be considered by the City Commission.

Commissioner Miller agreed. He clarified that the reason he voted against moving the issue forward at the April meeting was due to the proposal not being properly presented and that the City Commission were not involved at all in the process.

Commissioner Miller said he was not in favor of proceeding with pocket parks in R-1 Districts, noting that Anna Maria is primarily a residential community. Discussion of the requirements of the grant followed.

He said he was also against any further pursuing of the grant due to the substantial resources over a long period of time that will be required by the City. He did not feel the City could afford the costs.

Commissioner Miller further pointed out that Anna Maria currently has six areas that could be declared as pocket parks.

----- **MOTION: Noting it was 9:00 p.m., Chair Quam moved to continue the Work Session until the completion of the Agenda. Commissioner Miller seconded the motion. Motion Carried – All aye.**

Discussion followed relating to the qualifications and characteristics required to obtain the points to be awarded a grant.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Bill Partridge, 704 N. Shore Dr., addressed the Commission. As a practicing attorney, Mr. Partridge said proper notice was so important in quasi-judicial matters. He spoke in opposition to the way the item was handled and said it was a disaster for everyone in the Lockwood's neighborhood.

Mr. Partridge said he was concerned the issue had destroyed a long friendship he has had with Mr. and Mrs. Lockwood, however, it was important to decide what was best for his family and for his neighbors.

He said he was not prepared to address the comments made by Commissioner Mattick that evening since the Agenda only stated the Commission were to discuss determining the interest of the City to proceed with locating other properties and source of the grant funds.

Mr. Partridge informed he had met with Commissioner Mattick after learning of the proposal regarding the Lockwood property. He discussed the issue with her in order to set the facts straight since a recent newspaper article had many incorrect facts. He said he was very disappointed that the neighborhood's concerns were resurrected in a public meeting because the issue had been very personal.

Mr. Partridge, showing all public locations in the City, presented a highlighted zoning map. The locations included all of the Beach behind the main high water line, the parks, and all areas that a person can access by boats.

According to Mr. Partridge, the past week proved to show how the public use and private use needs to be balanced on the Island. He stated he had a petition in objection to the use of ROR property being converted into a park system and he would get it signed by many people, if necessary.

Jennifer Cascardo, 209 Spruce, said she has a Bachelors of Science degree in Public Administration and previously held the position of Director of Sports and Fitness for the New York City Recreation for over three years. Ms. Cascardo said a “park” was known as a “gathering arena.”

She felt that the proposed park location could only serve as a change and impact to the community. She did not feel the City had the budget to maintain an additional park. She said it would be difficult to insure that those using the park would serve as good neighbors and could potentially be bad neighbors influencing an ROR zone.

Ms. Cascardo said the research performed by Commissioner Mattick was very worthy, just did not belong in an R-1 district.

Marty Hiller, 705 N. Shore Dr., said in his opinion, the concept of a pocket park creates an opportunity and potential for crime.

Mr. Hiller brought attention to articles in that day’s Islander Newspaper – one involving a Beach shooting, a cartoon regarding the recent gang activity at Coquina Beach, and an article entitled Sex in the City. He informed that the latter article related to a Police report, including photos, he had filed. He then attempted to pass the pictures onto the Commission for their review.

Mr. Hiller informed that the pictures he took were in the location of where the proposed public park would be built. He believed that activity such as that occurring on Coquina Beach could also be a reality in Anna Maria. He encouraged considering a public area where the Police have the ability to patrol it more easily rather than building a park in a residential area.

Stacy Frank, 701 N. Shore Dr., said she did not feel the City, under their LDC, could have a park at the proposed location. Ms. Frank quoted certain sections of the City’s Zoning Code that included language that R-1 allows only single-family and group homes and that any other use is prohibited. She stated that a park in an R-1 district was not a compatible type or scale of use.

Ms. Frank stated that the opportunity for green-space was available in Anna Maria. She pointed out that along the Beachfront portion of the properties on N. Shore Dr., they are zoned E-1 and could be rezoned to allow for E-2.

Randall Stover, 815 N. Shore Dr., said that over the past two years being involved in the Comprehensive Plan, the State Representative and Consultant very clearly instructed the City they had all the recreational area that they could ever hope to have – six parks and three miles of beach.

Mr. Stover mentioned that a former grant received for a bike path in Anna Maria was declined by the City and returned to the State.

It was Mr. Stover’s opinion that the Agenda relating to the issue should have been better clarified and felt the item description was very inappropriate. He

further felt in order to take action the City should involve detailed discussion by the Commission prior to moving forward and one Commissioner should not take action on their own.

Mr. Stover discussed the recent gang activity at Coquina Beach and did not believe Anna Maria would want any additional parks in their City.

Mary Manion, 305 Tarpon, addressed the Commission as President of the Anna Maria Garden Club where she said Mrs. Lockwood serves as faithful members. She suggested that due to the situation occurring between the Lockwood's and the community situation, that they deserved an apology.

Mark Alonzo, 406 N. Shore Dr., suggested the issue be place as a vote before the citizens of Anna Maria.

Robin Wall, 112 Palmetto, said a lot could be learned from what had occurred relating to the grant issue. She felt any future grant ideas should be discussed well before the grant deadline in order to receive input and discussion from the citizens. In addition, the details should be well published and a study that includes details, budget obligations, etc. should also be conducted.

Ms. Wall did not think pursuing a grant for a pocket park in Anna Maria was a good idea at this time and should have occurred prior to the Residential neighborhoods being fully developed. She felt adding a park in a residential area would be changing the future land-use of a property from residential to PRA and those in residential areas would not want to see that type change.

Ms. Wall stated that the birds on the Beach at that location were there because it was an area of least disturbance.

Harry Lockwood, 703 N. Shore Dr., said he believed Commissioner Mattick had the best of intentions in pursuing the issue and was sorry to see her getting so much flack.

Mr. Lockwood explained that when the neighbors discussed their concerns relating to their property being utilized for the grant application, he then contacted Commissioner Mattick and withdrew from the project.

He stated he had no hard feelings against any of his neighbors and that the distention may not have occurred if the City handled the issue differently.

Charlie Carwein, 107 Tuna, said he spoke to Harry Lockwood to inform him that the neighbors were upset.

Mr. Cawein voiced the following concerns to placing a park at the proposed Lockwood location:

- Limited parking.
- Question as to how the park would be patrolled and who would be responsible for monitoring the activity.
- When would restrooms or portable toilets be installed.
- Possibility of crimes.
- The possibility of future sale of adjoining properties could expand the area to a much larger area.
- Determine why the City really needs an additional park.
- N. Shore Drive does not support bicycles, walking pedestrians, no sidewalks, and can be dangerous to any increased traffic.
- Concerns regarding the future costs to City for maintaining the property.

ACTION: It was the consensus of the Commission to no longer pursue the Grant Application to the Florida Community Trust Fund.

6. First Reading of Ordinance No. 07-678 – Creating Two Handicapped Parking Spaces at Gulf Blvd.

Deputy City Clerk/Finance Director Percycocoe read the Ordinance by title.

Commissioner Woodland thanked the Commission for considering and creating the two handicapped parking spaces at Gulf Blvd.

Second Reading of Ordinance No. 07-678 will be held at the May 25, 2007 meeting.

7. Old/New Business.
Buoys at Bean Point

Mayor Barford announced that sixteen additional buoys would be placed from the Sandbar up to Bean Point towards the Rod & Reel Pier. That area has been confirmed by the Manatee County Marine Unit as a 25-mile per hour channel.

City Hall Asbestos Update

Mayor Barford reported that the asbestos remediation has been completed. The air handler and ducts have been cleaned and disinfected. The mold remediation that will take six days would begin the following day.

Mayor Barford stated that a meeting will be held on April 17 with Ed Hunsecker relating to the recent Coquina Beach issue to assure proper security on Anna Maria Island.

FEMA Training

Mayor Barford informed that some of the City Staff would be attending the FEMA Training in Maryland the following week.

PUBLIC COMMENTS ON AGENDA ITEMS ONLY – None.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 9:39 p.m. on motion made by Chair Quam and seconded by Commissioner Miller. All Ayes.

The next regular Commission Meeting will be held on Wednesday, April 25, 2007 at the Holmes Beach Council Chambers.

Alice Baird, CMC, City Clerk